



Uwe Waldmann

June 7, 2022

**Tutorials for “Automated Reasoning II”**  
**Exercise sheet 5**

**Exercise 5.1:**

On page 50 of the lecture notes it is stated that the ordering restrictions of the inference rules of the superposition calculus must be satisfied *after applying the mgu to the premises*. Give a simple example that shows that a literal may be maximal in a clause, but that the maximality requirement may be violated after applying the mgu.

**Exercise 5.2:**

Compute the rewrite systems  $R_C$  and  $R_\infty$  for the set of ground clauses  $N$ :

$$f(a) \approx d \vee f(a) \approx c \quad (1)$$

$$a \not\approx d \vee f(b) \approx f(d) \quad (2)$$

$$f(c) \approx f(d) \quad (3)$$

$$f(d) \approx d \vee f(d) \approx b \quad (4)$$

$$a \approx b \quad (5)$$

$$c \approx d \quad (6)$$

Use the KBO with  $f > a > b > c > d$  and weight 1 for all symbols as term ordering. Which is the smallest clause  $C \in N$  such that  $C$  is neither productive nor true in  $R_C$ ? Use it to show that  $N$  is not saturated up to redundancy.

**Exercise 5.3:**

Compute  $R_\infty$  for the clause set  $\{f(x) \approx b\}$  and the signature  $\Sigma = (\{f/1, g/1, b/0\}, \emptyset)$ ; use the LPO with  $g > f > b$ .

**Exercise 5.4:**

Prove the lifting lemma (Lemma 3.7) for the equality factoring inference rule.

Bring your solution (or solution attempt) to the tutorial on June 14.