



max planck institut  
informatik

Universität  
des  
Saarlandes  
FR Informatik



Uwe Waldmann

May 23, 2016

---

**Tutorials for “Automated Reasoning II”**  
**Exercise sheet 4**

**Exercise 4.1:**

Let  $\Sigma = (\Omega, \emptyset)$  be a signature without predicate symbols (except built-in equality). For two  $\Sigma$ -algebras  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$ , we define the product  $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B}$  as the  $\Sigma$ -algebra whose universe is the cartesian product of the universes of  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$ , and where  $f_{\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B}}((a_1, b_1), \dots, (a_n, b_n)) = (f_{\mathcal{A}}(a_1, \dots, a_n), f_{\mathcal{B}}(b_1, \dots, b_n))$ .

A  $\Sigma$ -theory  $\mathcal{T}$  is called closed under products, if the product of any two models of  $\mathcal{T}$  is again a model of  $\mathcal{T}$ .

Prove: If  $\mathcal{T}$  is closed under products, then it is convex.

**Exercise 4.2:**

Prove: If the axioms of the  $\Sigma$ -theory  $\mathcal{T}$  are universally quantified equational Horn clauses (that is, clauses where all atoms are equations and at most one of the literals is positive), then  $\mathcal{T}$  is convex. (You may use the previous exercise.)

**Exercise 4.3:**

What goes wrong if we combine the original DPLL procedure (including the pure literal rule) with a theory solver?

**Exercise 4.4:**

Use the CDCL(EUF) calculus to determine whether the following set of clauses is satisfiable or not:

$$f(a, b) \not\approx f(a', b') \quad (1)$$

$$g(g(c)) \not\approx c \quad (2)$$

$$g(d) \approx c \vee g(g(c)) \approx c \quad (3)$$

$$a \approx a' \vee c \approx d \quad (4)$$

$$b \approx b' \vee c \approx d \quad (5)$$

Bring your solution (or solution attempt) to the tutorial on June 1.