# Automated Reasoning I, 2021 Midterm Exam, Sample Solution

## Assignment 1

**Part (a)** Let (V, E) be given, let  $C = \{0, 1, 2\}$  be the set of "colors". Let  $\Pi = \{P_v^c \mid v \in V, c \in C\}$ , where  $P_v^c$  is supposed to be true in a model if and only if  $\phi(v) = c$ . Then N is the following set of clauses over  $\Pi$ :

- $\bigvee_{c \in C} P_v^c$  for every  $v \in V$  (that is, v is mapped to some  $c \in C$  by  $\phi$ ).
- $\neg P_v^c \lor \neg P_v^{c'}$  for every  $v \in V$  and all  $c, c' \in C$  with c < c' (that is, v is not mapped to both c and c').
- $\neg P_v^c \lor \neg P_{v'}^c$  for every edge  $(v, v') \in E$  and every  $c \in C$  (that is, v and v' are not both mapped to c).

**Part (b)** There are several possible translations. We can for instance extend  $\Pi$  and Nfrom Part (a) in the following way: Let  $\Pi' =$  $\Pi \cup \{Q_{v,v'}^{c,c'} \mid (v,v') \in E, c, c' \in C, c < c'\},$ where the propositional variable  $Q_{v,v'}^{c,c'}$  is supposed to be true in a model only if  $\phi(v) = c$ and  $\phi(v') = c'$  or  $\phi(v) = c'$  and  $\phi(v') = c$ . Then N' adds the following clauses to N:

- $\bigvee_{(v,v')\in E} Q_{v,v'}^{c,c'}$  for all  $c,c' \in C$  with c < c' (that is, at least one edge connects two vertices with colors c and c').
- $\neg Q_{v,v'}^{c,c'} \lor P_v^c \lor P_{v'}^c$  for every edge  $(v,v') \in E$ and all  $c, c' \in C$  with c < c' (that is, one of v and v' is mapped to c).
- $\neg Q_{v,v'}^{c,c'} \lor P_v^{c'} \lor P_{v'}^{c'}$  for every edge  $(v,v') \in E$ and all  $c, c' \in C$  with c < c' (that is, one of v and v' is mapped to c').

#### Assignment 2

**Part (a)** Since clause (6) is a conflict clause and contains the complement of the deduced literal S, we resolve (6) with the clause used to propagate S, namely (5), and obtain  $T \vee \neg U \vee \neg W$  (which is not a backjump clause). By resolving this clause with the clause used to propagate  $\neg T$ , namely (4), we obtain  $P \vee \neg U \vee \neg W$  (10) (which

is a backjump clause). The best possible successor state for this backjump clause is  $\neg P^{d} W \neg U \parallel N \cup \{(10)\}.$ 

*Grading scheme:* 4 points for computing the 1UIP backjump clause; 3 points for determining the optimal successor state.

**Part (b)** Clause (9) is an asymmetric tautology w.r.t.  $N \setminus \{(9)\}$ ; therefore is has the RAT property and may be deleted. To see that we add the negation of (9), that is, the three unit clauses  $\neg S$  (11), U (12), and  $\neg V$  (13) to N and try to derive a contradiction by unit propagation. (Note that we may not use (9) itself for unit propagation.) We obtain

 $\neg S \quad U \quad \neg V \quad Q \quad \neg P \quad W \quad \| N \setminus \{(9)\} \cup \\ (11) \quad (12) \quad (13) \quad (2) \quad (8) \quad (1) \quad \{(11), (12), (13)\} \end{cases}$ 

At this point, (5) is a conflict clause, so we have shown that  $N \setminus \{(9)\} \cup \{\neg (9)\} \models \bot$  and therefore  $N \setminus \{(9)\} \models \{(9)\}$ .

#### Assignment 3

Assume that  $\mathcal{A} \not\models F$  and  $\mathcal{A} \not\models C$  and that every propositional variable that occurs in Foccurs also in C. We have to show that  $\mathcal{B} \models F$ implies  $\mathcal{B} \models C$  for every valuation  $\mathcal{B}$ : Suppose that  $\mathcal{B} \models F$ . Then there must exist a propositional variable P that occurs in F and for which  $\mathcal{A}(P) \neq \mathcal{B}(P)$ . By assumption, the propositional variable P occurs also in C. Now there are two possibilities: Either  $\mathcal{A}(P) = 1$ , then  $\mathcal{A} \not\models C$  implies that C contains the negative literal  $\neg P$ , and since  $\mathcal{B}(P) = 0$  we have  $\mathcal{B}(C) = 1$ . Otherwise  $\mathcal{A}(P) = 0$ , then  $\mathcal{A} \not\models C$ implies that C contains the positive literal P, and since  $\mathcal{B}(P) = 1$  we have again  $\mathcal{B}(C) = 1$ .

### Assignment 4

**Part (a)** Assume that the  $\Sigma$ -formula F is valid. Let  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\beta$  be an arbitrary  $\Sigma$ -algebra and an assignment. We have to show that  $\mathcal{A}(\beta)(\operatorname{rep}(F)) = 1$ . Define a  $\Sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B}$  such that  $U_{\mathcal{B}} = U_{\mathcal{A}}$ ,  $f_{\mathcal{B}} = f_{\mathcal{A}}$  for every  $f \in \Omega$ ,  $Q_{\mathcal{B}} = R_{\mathcal{A}}$ , and  $P_{\mathcal{B}} = P_{\mathcal{A}}$  for every  $P \in \Pi \setminus \{Q\}$ . Obviously,  $\mathcal{B}(\gamma)(t) = \mathcal{A}(\gamma)(t)$  for every assignment  $\gamma$  and  $\Sigma$ -term t. We show that  $\mathcal{B}(\gamma)(G) =$   $\mathcal{A}(\gamma)(\operatorname{rep}(G))$  for every  $\Sigma$ -formula G and every  $\gamma$  by induction over the formula structure:

If  $G = Q(s_1, \ldots, s_n)$ , then  $\operatorname{rep}(G) = R(s_1, \ldots, s_n)$ . The tuple  $(\mathcal{A}(\gamma)(s_1), \ldots, \mathcal{A}(\gamma)(s_n)) = (\mathcal{B}(\gamma)(s_1), \ldots, \mathcal{B}(\gamma)(s_n))$  is contained in  $Q_{\mathcal{B}}$  iff it is contained in  $R_{\mathcal{A}}$  by definition of  $Q_{\mathcal{B}}$ , therefore we get  $\mathcal{B}(\gamma)(Q(s_1, \ldots, s_n)) = \mathcal{A}(\gamma)(R(s_1, \ldots, s_n)) = \mathcal{A}(\gamma)(\operatorname{rep}(Q(s_1, \ldots, s_n))).$ 

If  $G = P(t_1, \ldots, t_m)$  for some  $P \neq Q$ , then rep $(G) = P(s_1, \ldots, s_n)$ . The tuple  $(\mathcal{A}(\gamma)(s_1), \ldots, \mathcal{A}(\gamma)(s_n)) = (\mathcal{B}(\gamma)(s_1), \ldots, \mathcal{B}(\gamma)(s_n))$  is contained in  $P_{\mathcal{B}}$  iff it is contained in  $P_{\mathcal{A}}$ , therefore we get  $\mathcal{B}(\gamma)(P(s_1, \ldots, s_n)) = \mathcal{A}(\gamma)(\operatorname{rep}(P(s_1, \ldots, s_n)))$ .

If G=  $G' \vee G''$ , then  $\operatorname{rep}(G)$ =  $\operatorname{rep}(G')$  $\vee$  $\operatorname{rep}(G'').$ By induction.  $\mathcal{B}(\gamma)(G') = \mathcal{A}(\gamma)(\operatorname{rep}(G')) \text{ and } \mathcal{B}(\gamma)(G'') =$  $\mathcal{A}(\gamma)(\operatorname{rep}(G'')),$  therefore  $\mathcal{B}(\gamma)(G)$  $\mathcal{B}(\gamma)(G' \vee G'') = \max\{\mathcal{B}(\gamma)(G'), \mathcal{B}(\gamma)(G'')\} =$  $\max\{\mathcal{A}(\gamma)(\operatorname{rep}(G')), \mathcal{A}(\gamma)(\operatorname{rep}(G''))\}$ =  $\mathcal{A}(\gamma)(\operatorname{rep}(G') \lor \operatorname{rep}(G'')) = \mathcal{A}(\gamma)(\operatorname{rep}(G)).$ 

If  $G = \neg G'$ , then  $\operatorname{rep}(G) = \neg \operatorname{rep}(G')$ . By induction,  $\mathcal{B}(\gamma)(G') = \mathcal{A}(\gamma)(\operatorname{rep}(G'))$ , therefore  $\mathcal{B}(\gamma)(G) = \mathcal{B}(\gamma)(\neg G') = 1 - \mathcal{B}(\gamma)(G') =$  $1 - \mathcal{A}(\gamma)(\operatorname{rep}(G')) = \mathcal{A}(\gamma)(\neg \operatorname{rep}(G')) =$  $\mathcal{A}(\gamma)(\operatorname{rep}(G)).$ 

The other cases are handled analogously.

Since F is supposed to be valid, we have therefore  $\mathcal{A}(\beta)(\operatorname{rep}(F)) = \mathcal{B}(\beta)(F) = 1.$ 

**Part (b)** Let  $F = Q(b) \land \neg R(b)$ , then  $\operatorname{rep}(F) = R(b) \land \neg R(b)$ . Clearly, F is satisfiable, but  $\operatorname{rep}(F)$  is unsatisfiable.

#### Assignment 5

The NNF transformation of

$$\exists w \,\forall x \,\exists z \,\neg \exists y \,\forall v \Big( \neg P(c, v, f(x), y) \\ \wedge \big( Q(v, z) \to R(x, z, w) \big) \Big)$$

yields

$$\exists w \,\forall x \,\exists z \,\forall y \,\exists v \Big( P(c,v,f(x),y) \\ \vee \big( Q(v,z) \wedge \neg R(x,z,w) \big) \Big)$$

Miniscoping proceeds bottom-up. First, we move  $\exists v$  inside the disjunction and then inside the conjunction. Second, we move  $\forall y$  inside the

disjunction. Third, we move  $\exists z$  inside the disjunction:

$$\exists w \,\forall x \Big( \forall y \,\exists v \, P(c, v, f(x), y) \\ \vee \,\exists z \big( \exists v \, Q(v, z) \wedge \neg R(x, z, w) \big) \Big)$$

At this point, none of the miniscoping rules is applicable anymore. Variable renaming yields

$$\exists w \,\forall x \Big( \forall y \,\exists v \, P(c, v, f(x), y) \\ \vee \,\exists z \big( \exists v' \, Q(v', z) \land \neg R(x, z, w) \big) \Big)$$

Skolemization starts with the *outermost* existential quantifier. First, w is replaced by a *new* constant b. We obtain

$$\forall x \Big( \forall y \exists v P(c, v, f(x), y) \\ \lor \exists z \big( \exists v' Q(v', z) \land \neg R(x, z, b) \big) \Big)$$

Then v and z are replaced by new functions g(applied to the free variables x and y) and g'(applied to the free variable x), and then v' is replaced by a new function g'' (applied to the free variable x). We get

$$\forall x \Big( \forall y P(c, g(x, y), f(x), y) \\ \lor \Big( Q(g''(x), g'(x)) \land \neg R(x, g'(x), b) \Big) \Big)$$

The universal quantifiers are pushed upward:

$$orall x orall y \Big( P(c,g(x,y),f(x),y) \ arphi \left( Q(g''(x),g'(x)) \wedge 
eg R(x,g'(x),b) 
ight) \Big)$$

Using the distributivity law, we get the CNF

$$\forall x \forall y \Big( \big( P(c, g(x, y), f(x), y) \lor Q(g''(x), g'(x)) \big) \\ \land \big( P(c, g(x, y), f(x), y) \lor \neg R(x, g'(x), b) \big) \Big)$$

*Grading scheme:* 5 points for miniscoping; 5 points for Skolemization; 4 points for the rest.

#### Assignment 6

Ineq. (1) holds if and only if  $P \succ Q$ . Ineq. (2) holds if and only if  $R \succ P$  or  $Q \succ P$ , but the second of the two possibilities is excluded by (1). Ineq. (3) holds if and only if  $R \succ S$ . There are three strict orderings that satisfy these conditions, namely  $R \succ S \succ P \succ Q$ ,  $R \succ P \succ S \succ Q$ , and  $R \succ P \succ Q \succ S$ .

Grading scheme: -4 points for each missing or wrong ordering.