



Marek Kosta
 Christoph Weidenbach

July 16, 2012

Tutorials for “Automated Reasoning”
Exercise sheet 14

Exercise 14.1: (4 P)

Use superposition to show that the following set of (implicitly universally quantified) clauses is not satisfiable:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & f(a, x) \approx x \\
 & x \approx a \vee x \approx g(a) \\
 & x \not\approx g(x) \\
 & f(a, g(a)) \approx g(b) \\
 & b \not\approx a
 \end{aligned}$$

Use the LPO with precedence $f > g > a > b$. Compute only inferences that are required according to the ordering restrictions of the superposition calculus.

Exercise 14.2: (3 P)

Let \succ be the LPO with the precedence $a > b > c > g > f$. Order the following ground equational clauses according to the clause ordering \succ_C defined in the lecture.

$$\begin{aligned}
 & f(a, c) \not\approx g(f(c, c)) \vee a \approx g(c) \\
 & a \not\approx b \vee f(f(a, a), f(a, b)) \not\approx f(g(a), g(b)) \\
 & g(a) \approx g(b) \vee g(f(c, a)) \approx f(a, c) \\
 & a \approx b \vee g(g(c)) \not\approx f(c, b) \\
 & g(c) \approx f(a, b)
 \end{aligned}$$

Exercise 14.3: (2 P)

Let \succ be a reduction ordering that is total on ground terms and E be a set of equations. Suppose that equations in E can be oriented (i.e. for every $t \approx s \in E$ exactly one of $t \succ s$ or

$s \succ t$ holds). Show that the set of semi-critical pairs of E with respect to \succ is the same as the set of critical pairs of rewrite system R where $R = \{s \rightarrow t \mid s \succ t \wedge s \approx t \in E\}$.

Exercise 14.4: (3 P)

Prove Theorem 6.4.

Exercise 14.5: (2 Bonus Points)

Is there any reduction ordering that is total on $T_{\Sigma}(X)$? Give a proof or counterexample.

Submit your solution in lecture hall 001 during the lecture **on July 23**. Please write your name and the date of your tutorial group on your solution.

Note: Joint solutions are not permitted (work in groups is encouraged).