

Universität des Saarlandes FR Informatik



Manuel Lamotte Christoph Weidenbach July 10, 2008

# Exercise Problems for "Automated Reasoning"

**Exercise 1** (Propositional Logic)

For a propositional formula X, let b(X) be the number of occurrences of connectives  $(\land, \lor)$  in X. Prove using structural induction that, for every formula X, b(X) = number of left parentheses in X = number of right parentheses in X. (Hence every propositional formula has the same number of left as right parentheses.)

**Exercise 2** (*DPLL*) Prove Lemma 1.9 by induction on the length of the derivation.

# Exercise 3 (DPLL)

Let N be the following set of propositional clauses:

$\neg P$	$\vee$	$\neg Q$	$\vee$	R	$\vee$	$\neg S$	(1)
		$\neg Q$	$\vee$	$\neg R$			(2)
		Q	$\vee$	$\neg R$	$\vee$	S	(3)
$\neg P$			$\vee$	R			(4)
$\neg P$	$\vee$	Q			$\vee$	$\neg S$	(5)
P					$\vee$	S	(6)

During a DPLL-derivation, we have reached the configuration  $P^d Q^d \neg R \parallel N$ .

a) Give two different backjump clauses that can be used in this situation.

b) Select one backjump clause and continue until you find a model or until you reach fail.

Exercise 4 (Miniscoping)

Apply miniscoping to the following formula:

 $\forall x \exists y \forall z (R(x, x) \land [P(y) \lor R(x, y) \lor Q(z)])$ 

### **Exercise 5** (DPLL(LA))

Use the transition system  $\Rightarrow_{\text{DPLL}(T)}$  for the DPLL(T) procedure to check whether the following formula F is satisfiable or not. For each step explain briefly which rule you use and why. Check the T-(in)consistency of a valuation by using the Fourier-Motzkin algorithm.

$$F = \neg(((x \ge 5 \lor x < y) \land 3x + y \ge 20 \land y = x + 3) \to y \ge 9)$$

### **Exercise 6** (Unification)

Solve the following unification problems using both  $\Rightarrow_{SU}$  and  $\Rightarrow_{PU}$ .

1.  $E_1 = \{ f(g(y, x_3), y, g(k(x_3), a)) \doteq f(x_1, h(x_2), x_1)) \}$ 

2. 
$$E_2 = \{f(x_1, h(y, g(y)), h(x_1, a)) \doteq f(g(x_2), z, z)\}$$

3.  $E_3 = \{f(a, x_1, g(h(y, a))) \doteq f(z, g(z), g(x_2))\}$ 

#### **Exercise 7** (*Knuth-Bendix Completion*)

Apply the Knuth-Bendix completion procedure to the set of equations

$$E_0 = \{ f(x, y) \approx h(x, c), f(x, y) \approx h(c, y), h(c, c) \approx c \}$$

using the lexicographic path order with the precedence f > h > c.

#### **Exercise 8** (Knuth-Bendix Completion)

Show that the strict part  $\Box = \Box \setminus \Box$  of the encompassment quasi-ordering  $\Box$  is a well-founded strict partial ordering.

#### Exercise 9 (Orderings)

For each of the following term rewriting systems (1) to (4) give, if possible, an instance of a lexicographic path ordering and a Knuth-Bendix ordering such that the left-hand sides are larger than the right-hand sides when you compare the rules. If the rule cannot be ordered using KBO/LPO give a short argument why it can't.

$$(1) \quad f(g(x), g(y)) \rightarrow f(f(x, g(y)), x);$$

$$(2) \quad f(g(x, a), x, a) \rightarrow g(f(x, x, a), h(b, x));$$

$$(3) \quad f(x, g(y), a) \rightarrow f(h(x), h(y), h(a));$$

$$(4) \qquad x + 0 \rightarrow x$$

$$x + s(y) \rightarrow s(x + y).$$

#### **Exercise 10** (Superposition)

Use the superposition calculus in order to do all possible inferences between the given clauses. Use KBO with weight 1 for all function symbols and variables and the precedence g > f > c > b > a.

- (1)  $f(f(x,y),y) \not\approx x$  and  $f(a,b) \approx a \lor a \not\approx b$
- (2)  $f(x,y) \not\approx g(y) \lor g(x) \not\approx f(y,x)$  and  $f(a,b) \approx a \lor g(a) \approx b$
- (3)  $f(a, y) \approx f(x, b) \lor f(x, b) \not\approx b$  and  $f(x, y) \approx x$
- (4)  $a \approx b$  and  $g(b) \approx a \lor g(b) \approx g(a)$

## **Exercise 11** (Superposition)

Consider the following clause set N:

(1)	$f(a,b) \approx b$
(2)	$f(y,x) \not\approx y$
(3)	$f(f(x,y),f(y,x)) \not\approx x \lor g(x,y) \approx x$

- 1. Apply Superposition to saturate the clause set. Use KBO with weight 1 for all function symbols and variables and the precedence g > f > c > b > a.
- 2. Check yourself using SPASS: write the corresponding input file and call SPASS with the options "-PDer", "-DocProof", "-Select=0". Compare your result with the result of SPASS.

#### **Exercise 12** (Candidate Interpretation, Unit Rewriting)

Consider the following clause set N. Use KBO with weight 1 for all function symbols and variables and the precedence f > g > b > a.

(1) 
$$a \approx b$$
  
(2)  $f(a,b) \approx a$   
(3)  $f(b,a) \not\approx b \lor g(a) \approx b$ 

- 1. Which clause is false in  $R_{\infty}$ ?
- 2. Saturate the clauses using unit rewriting.
- 3. Repeat the construction of the candidate interpretation.

## **Exercise 13** (Ordered Resolution with Selection)

Refute the following clauses using the calculus of ordered resolution with selection. Take a lexicographic path order and the precedence f > h > g > Q > R > P > a.

- (1)  $\{R(x_1, f(a)), \neg P(g(x_1, a), y), R(h(y), f(z))\}$
- (2)  $\{\neg R(x_2, x_3), Q(g(h(x_2), x_3))\}$
- (3)  $\{P(g(h(x_4), x_4), x_4), Q(g(h(h(x_4)), z))\}$
- (4)  $\{\neg Q(g(h(h(z)), f(z)))\}$