

Harald Ganzinger  
 Uwe Waldmann

May 2, 2002

Tutorials for “Logic in Computer Science”  
 Exercise sheet 4

**Exercise 4.1:**

Skolemization preserves the (un-)satisfiability of prenex formulas. Show that it does not preserve validity: Give a signature  $\Sigma = (\Omega, \Pi)$  and a closed prenex  $\Sigma$ -formula  $F$  without equality, such that  $F$  is valid, but the formula  $F'$  that is obtained by Skolemizing  $F$  is not valid.

**Exercise 4.2:**

Theorem 1.10 holds for (universally quantified) clauses. Show that it does not hold for arbitrary  $\Sigma$ -formulas: Give a signature  $\Sigma = (\Omega, \Pi)$ , such that  $\Omega$  contains at least one constant symbol, and a closed  $\Sigma$ -formula  $F$  without equality, such that  $F$  is satisfiable but does not have a Herbrand model over  $\Sigma$ . (Why does transformation into clause normal form change this situation?)

**Exercise 4.3:**

Ackermann’s function  $ack(m, n)$  is defined using the following equations:

$$\begin{aligned}
 ack(0, n) &= n + 1 \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{N} \\
 ack(m + 1, 0) &= ack(m, 1) \quad \text{for } m \in \mathbb{N} \\
 ack(m + 1, n + 1) &= ack(m, ack(m + 1, n)) \quad \text{for } m, n \in \mathbb{N}
 \end{aligned}$$

Use Noetherian induction to show that the recursive computation of  $ack(m, n)$  terminates for all  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Exercise 4.4:**

Two ordered sets  $(M_1, \succ_1)$  and  $(M_2, \succ_2)$  are called order-isomorphic, if there exists a bijection  $h : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$  such that for all  $x, y \in M_1$ :  $x \succ_1 y$  if and only if  $h(x) \succ_2 h(y)$ .

Let the ordering  $\succ$  over  $\{A, B\}$  be defined by  $A \succ B$ . Is the set of finite multisets over  $\{A, B\}$  (ordered by the multiset extension of  $\succ$ ) order-isomorphic to  $(\mathbb{N}, >)$  or not? Prove your hypothesis.

**Exercise 4.5:**

Find a total ordering  $\succ$  on the ground atoms  $A, B, C, D, E$ , such that the associated clause ordering  $\succ_C$  orders the following clauses like this:

$$B \vee C \succ_C A \vee A \vee \neg C \succ_C C \vee E \succ_C C \vee D \succ_C \neg A \vee D \succ_C \neg E$$

**Exercise 4.6:**

Prove that the multiset extension  $\succ_{mul}$  of a total ordering  $\succ$  is total.

Put your solution into the mail box at the door of room 627 in the MPI building (46.1) before May 10, 11:00 (Group D: before May 13, 11:00). Don't forget to write your name and the name of your tutorial group (B, C, D) on your solution.