

Harald Ganzinger  
Uwe Waldmann

July 4, 2002

Tutorials for “Logic in Computer Science”  
Exercise sheet 12

**Exercise 12.1:**

- Give an example of a finite time structure  $M = (S, R, L)$  and a state  $s_0 \in S$  such that  $M, s_0 \models \text{AG}(\text{EF } P)$ , but  $M, s_0 \not\models \text{AF } P$ .
- Give an example of a finite time structure  $M = (S, R, L)$  and a state  $s_0 \in S$  such that  $M, s_0 \models \text{EG}(\text{EF } P)$ , but  $M, s_0 \not\models \text{EGFP}$ .


**Exercise 12.2:**

Express the following statements in CTL:

- It is possible that the CD drive of a computer gets into such a state that opening it becomes impossible forever.
- A student cannot take the electrical engineering exam more than two times.

**Exercise 12.3:**

Let  $S = \{l_0, l_1, l_2, l_3, l_4, l_5\}$ , let  $\Pi = \{p, q\}$ , and let  $M = (S, R, L)$  be the following time structure (where  $R$  is represented by  $\rightarrow$ ):



Compute  $\llbracket \text{AXAXE}((\neg q) \cup p) \rrbracket$ .

**Exercise 12.4:**

The syntax of CTL given on slide 20 permits state formulas like  $\text{EAX}P$  (for  $P \in \Pi$ ). The simplified definition given on slide 23 and 34 does not permit formulas of this kind. Why is this difference semantically irrelevant?

**Exercise 12.5:**

Give an example of a Boolean function  $f$  with three variables  $x, y, z$ , such that the minimal OBDD for  $f$  has 5 interior nodes for the variable ordering  $x < y < z$  and 4 interior nodes for some other variable ordering.

**Exercise 12.6:**

Let  $p$  be an arbitrary mixed CTL formula such that  $Z$  is the only explicit set of states occurring in  $p$ . Is the function  $\tau : Z \mapsto p$  necessarily monotone?

Put your solution into the mail box at the door of room 627 in the MPI building (46.1) before July 12, 11:00. Don't forget to write your name and the name of your tutorial group (B, C, D) on your solution.