

**Assignment 1** (*Semantics of FOL*)

(14 points)

Let  $\Sigma = (\Omega, \Pi)$  be a signature. For every  $\Sigma$ -formula  $F$  without equality let  $\text{neg}(F)$  be the formula that one obtains from  $F$  by replacing every atom  $P(t_1, \dots, t_n)$  in  $F$  by its negation  $\neg P(t_1, \dots, t_n)$  for every  $P/n \in \Pi$ . Prove: If  $F$  is valid, then  $\text{neg}(F)$  is valid.

(Note: Somewhere in the proof you need an induction over the structure of formulas. It is sufficient if you check the base cases and  $\wedge$ ,  $\neg$ , and  $\exists$ . The other boolean connectives and quantifiers ( $\vee$ ,  $\rightarrow$ ,  $\leftrightarrow$ ,  $\forall$ ) can be handled analogously; you may omit them.)

**Assignment 2** (*Resolution*)

(8 + 6 = 14 points)

Let  $\Sigma = (\{f/1, g/1, h/1, b/0, c/0\}, \{P/2, Q/1, R/2\})$ ; let  $N$  be the following set of clauses over  $\Sigma$ :

$$P(g(x), x) \vee P(b, x) \vee R(f(x), x) \quad (1)$$

$$\neg P(g(x), g(x)) \quad (2)$$

$$\neg P(z, h(y)) \vee \neg R(y, z) \quad (3)$$

$$\neg P(y, c) \vee \neg P(z, b) \vee \neg Q(z) \vee R(z, y) \quad (4)$$

$$Q(b) \vee Q(x) \vee \neg R(f(x), x) \quad (5)$$

**Part (a)** Suppose that the atom ordering  $\succ$  is a lexicographic path ordering with the precedence  $P > Q > R > f > g > h > b > c$  and that the selection function  $sel$  selects no literals. Compute all  $\text{Res}_{sel}^>$  inferences between the clauses (1)–(5). (Do not compute inferences between derived clauses. Do not compute any inferences that violate the restrictions of the calculus.)

**Part (b)** If the selection function  $sel$  is defined appropriately, the set  $N$  is saturated under  $\text{Res}_{sel}^>$  (with  $\succ$  as in Part (a)). Which literals have to be selected?

**Assignment 3** (*E-Algebras*)

(12 points)

Let  $\Sigma = (\Omega, \emptyset)$  be a first-order signature with  $\Omega = \{f/2, b/0, c/0, d/0\}$ . Let  $E$  be the set of  $\Sigma$ -equations

$$\{\forall x (f(x, c) \approx b), c \approx d\},$$

let  $X = \{x, y, z\}$  be a set of variables. For any  $t \in \text{T}_\Sigma(X)$  let  $[t]$  denote the congruence class of  $t$  w.r.t.  $E$ . Let  $\mathcal{T} = \text{T}_\Sigma(X)/E$  and let  $\beta : X \rightarrow U_{\mathcal{T}}$  be the assignment that maps every variable to  $[c]$ . Decide for each of the following statements whether they are true or false:

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| (1) $[c]$ is a finite set of $\Sigma$ -terms.              | (5) $f(c, b) \in [f(d, b)]$ .                           |
| (2) $[f(c, c)]$ is a set of ground $\Sigma$ -terms.        | (6) $f_{\mathcal{T}}([y], [d]) = [f(z, c)]$ .           |
| (3) $[x]$ is an element of the universe of $\mathcal{T}$ . | (7) $\mathcal{T}(\beta)(y \approx d) = 1$ .             |
| (4) $\{b, f(x, c)\}$ is a congruence class w.r.t. $E$ .    | (8) $\mathcal{T}(\beta)(\forall z (z \approx c)) = 1$ . |

(Note on grading: A yes/no answer is sufficient; you do not have to give any explanations. However, you need at least five correct answers to get any points for assignment 3. Missing answers count like false answers.)

**Assignment 4** (*Rewriting*) (12 points)

Let  $\Sigma = (\Omega, \emptyset)$  with  $\Omega = \{f/1, g/1, h/1, b/0, c/0\}$ . Let  $R$  be the following term rewrite system over  $\Sigma$ :

$$\{g(f(x)) \rightarrow h(x), h(f(x)) \rightarrow g(x), g(b) \rightarrow c, h(c) \rightarrow b\}$$

Prove: If  $s, t \in T_\Sigma(X)$  and  $R \models \forall \vec{x}(s \approx t)$ , then there exists a rewrite derivation  $s \leftrightarrow_R^* t$  with at most  $|s| + |t| - 2$  rewrite steps.

**Assignment 5** (*Reduction Orderings*) (12 points)

Let  $\Sigma = (\Omega, \emptyset)$  be a finite signature. For  $t \in T_\Sigma(X)$  we define  $\text{depth}(t) = \max\{|p| \mid p \in \text{pos}(t)\}$ . Let  $\succ$  be a strict partial ordering on  $\Omega$ . The binary relation  $\succ_{\text{do}}$  on  $T_\Sigma(X)$  is defined by:  $s \succ_{\text{do}} t$  if and only if

- (1)  $\#(x, s) \geq \#(x, t)$  for all variables  $x$  and  $\text{depth}(s) > \text{depth}(t)$ , or
- (2)  $\#(x, s) \geq \#(x, t)$  for all variables  $x$ ,  $\text{depth}(s) = \text{depth}(t)$ , and
  - (a)  $s = f(s_1, \dots, s_m)$ ,  $t = g(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ , and  $f \succ g$ , or
  - (b)  $s = f(s_1, \dots, s_m)$ ,  $t = f(t_1, \dots, t_m)$ , and  $(s_1, \dots, s_m) (\succ_{\text{do}})_{\text{lex}} (t_1, \dots, t_m)$ .

Give an example that shows that  $\succ_{\text{do}}$  is *not* a reduction ordering.

**Assignment 6** (*Dependency Pairs*) (4 + 8 + 4 = 16 points)

**Part (a)** Let  $\Sigma = (\Omega, \emptyset)$  with  $\Omega = \{f/2, g/2, h/1, k/1, b/0\}$ . Compute the dependency pairs of the following rewrite system  $R$  over  $\Sigma$ :

$$f(x, h(x)) \rightarrow h(k(x)) \quad (1)$$

$$f(h(x), y) \rightarrow g(x, g(h(x), x)) \quad (2)$$

$$g(x, x) \rightarrow f(x, x) \quad (3)$$

$$g(x, y) \rightarrow y \quad (4)$$

$$h(b) \rightarrow b \quad (5)$$

**Part (b)** Compute the approximated dependency graph for  $R$  (using cap and ren) and use the subterm criterion to show that  $R$  is terminating. If a graph is modified, depict both the original and the modified graph and indicate the strongly connected components in the graphs.

**Part (c)** The approximated dependency graph contains an edge from a dependency pair generated by rule (3) to a dependency pair generated by rule (1). Is this edge also contained in the exact dependency graph? Give an explanation.