

Errata

page 2, Sect. 1.1 [added Oct. 14]:

in the definitions of $R \cup Q$ and $R \cap Q$, replace “ $\in M$ ” by “ $\in M^n$ ”

page 4, Sect. 1.3 [added Oct. 21]:

replace the definition of *total* by “if $R(x, y)$ or $R(y, x)$ or $x = y$ for all $x, y \in M$.”

page 5, Sect. 1.3 [added Nov. 25]:

in the definition of a strict partial ordering, replace “on a set M ” by “on a non-empty set M ”

page 12, Ex. 2.1 and 2.2 [added Oct. 21]:

replace every A and B by P and Q .

page 12, Ex. 2.2 [added Oct. 21]:

replace the second sentence by “Then $\text{pol}(F, 1) = \text{pol}(F, 12) = \text{pol}(F, 221) = -1$ and $\text{pol}(F, \varepsilon) = \text{pol}(F, 2) = \text{pol}(F, 21) = \text{pol}(F, 22) = \text{pol}(F, 11) = 1$.”

page 16, Prop. 2.7, Proof [added Oct. 21]:

replace G_2 by H_2 .

page 19, Prop. 2.9, Proof [added Oct. 21]:

replace “ $\mu_1(H[F]) > \mu_1(H[G])$ ” by “ $\mu_1(H[F]_p) > \mu_1(H[G]_p)$ ”

page 20, “Satisfiability-preserving Transformations” [added Oct. 21]:

replace “ $H[P] \wedge (P \leftrightarrow F)$ ” by “ $H[P]_p \wedge (P \leftrightarrow F)$ ”

page 24, Sect. 2.5 [added Nov. 25]:

in the pseudocode for the Davis-Putman-Logemann-Loveland procedure, replace “unit clause P ” and “unit clause $\neg P$ ” by “unit literal P ” and “unit literal $\neg P$ ”

page 26, “Restart” [added Nov. 25]:

replace “adopted” by “adapted”

page 42, “Theory of an Algebra” [added Nov. 25]:

replace “sets of algebras” by “classes of algebras”

page 46, “The Complete Picture” [added Nov. 25]:

replace \Rightarrow^*_{OCNF} by \Rightarrow^*_{CNF}

page 48, “Miniscoping” [added Nov. 25]:

add an additional rule

$$H[Qx G]_p \Rightarrow_{\text{MS}} H[G]_p$$

(this rule is only needed if we start with a formula in which x does not occur at all freely in G ; otherwise the remaining rules are sufficient)

page 50, Thm. 3.11 [added Nov. 25]:

replace “completeness proof for resolution” by “completeness proof for general resolution”

page 51, “Proofs” [added Nov. 25]:

replace “a a set” by “a set”

page 52, “Soundness and Completeness” [added Nov. 25]:

replace “a proof Γ ” by “a proof in Γ ”

page 60, Thm. 3.20, Proof [added Nov. 25]:

replace “If” by “The”

page 61, “General Resolution through Instantiation” [added Nov. 25]:

insert space between the formulas $P(a, a) \vee \neg Q(f(a, b))$ and $\neg P(a, a)$.

page 63, Prop. 3.23 [added Nov. 27]:

add after “quasi-ordering”: “(i.e., a reflexive and transitive, but not necessarily anti-symmetric relation)”

page 64, “SU: Main Properties” [added Nov. 25]:

replace $E = x_1 \doteq u_1, \dots, x_k \doteq u_k$ by $E = \{x_1 \doteq u_1, \dots, x_k \doteq u_k\}$.

page 65, Thm. 3.27, Proof [added Nov. 25]:

replace “Noetherian” by “terminating”

page 65, “Rule-Based Polynomial Unification” [added Nov. 25]:

replace $t_i/p_i = x_{i+1}, t_n/p_n = x_1$ by $t_i|_{p_i} = x_{i+1}, t_n|_{p_n} = x_1$.

page 66, “Properties of PU”, Note [added Nov. 25]:

replace “different form” by “different from”

page 68, Thm. 3.36 [added Jan. 31]:

replace “first-order formulas” by “closed first-order formulas”

page 69, “Resolution Calculus $Res_{sel}^>$ ” [added Jan. 31]:

replace “atom ordering \succ ” by “ordering \succ on ground atoms”

page 71, “Avoiding Rotation Redundancy” [added Jan. 31]:

replace twice “orderings restrictions” by “ordering restrictions”

page 73, Thm. 3.40, Proof [added Jan. 31]:

replace “let” by “Let”

page 78, Sect. 3.14 [added Feb. 6]:

replace in (i) “strictly maximal in $D_i\sigma$ ” by “strictly maximal in $D_i\sigma \vee B_i\sigma$;

replace in (iii) “maximal in $C\sigma$ ” by “maximal in $C\sigma \vee \neg A_1\sigma$ ”

page 81, “Classification of Formulas” [added Jan. 31]:

replace every X and Y by F and G .

page 81, “Tableaux: Notions” [added Jan. 31]:

In the definition of “maximal” replace “each non-atomic formula F on P ” by “each formula F on P that is neither a literal nor \perp nor \top ”

page 83, Thm. 3.48 [added Jan. 31]:

insert “the” after “Then”

page 83, “Consequences” [added Jan. 31]:

insert “the” after “dramatic impact on”

page 86, “Free-Variable Tableaux” [added Jan. 31]:

delete “is” after “This feature is what”

page 90/91, Sect. 4.1 [added Jan. 31]:

replace every p by P .

page 91, Prop. 4.1, Proof [added Jan. 31]:

replace the sentence “Now for every Σ -term $t \dots \mathcal{B}(\beta)(\tilde{G}) = \mathcal{A}(\gamma)(G)$ ” by

For any \mathcal{A} -assignment γ choose some \mathcal{B} -assignment β such that $\mathcal{B}(\beta)(x) \in \mathcal{A}(\gamma)(x)$ for every x , then for every Σ -term t we have $[\mathcal{B}(\beta)(t)] = \mathcal{A}(\gamma)(t)$, and analogously for every Σ -formula G , $\mathcal{B}(\beta)(\tilde{G}) = \mathcal{A}(\gamma)(G)$.

page 91, Sect. 4.2 [added Jan. 31]:

in the definition of a rewrite relation, replace s/p by $s|_p$.

page 93, Lemma. 4.5 [added Jan. 31]:

replace $s, t \in T_\Sigma(X)$ by $s, t \in T_\Sigma(Y)$

page 93, Lemma. 4.5, Proof [added Jan. 31]:

insert at the beginning of the proof:

Without loss of generality, we assume that all variables in \vec{x} are contained in X .
(Otherwise, we rename the variables in the equation. Since X is countably infinite, this is always possible.)

page 101, Lemma. 4.21, Proof [added Jan. 31]:

replace $s \succ s'$ by $s \succ_{\mathcal{A}} s'$

page 105, “Path orderings” [added Jan. 31]:

in the last item replace “to each function symbol f with $\text{arity}(n) \geq 1$ ” by “to each function symbol $f/n \in \Omega$ with $n \geq 1$ ”

page 117, “Dependency Graphs” [added Jan. 31]:

in the definition of a cycle replace “non-empty path” by “non-empty path in K ”

page 118, Thm. 5.1, Proof [added Jan. 31]:

replace $t_1 \in T_\infty$ by $t_1 \in T_\infty^\#$.