

## 1.8 DPLL Iteratively

In practice, there are several changes to the procedure:

The pure literal check is often omitted (it is too expensive).

The branching variable is not chosen randomly.

The algorithm is implemented iteratively;  
the backtrack stack is managed explicitly  
(it may be possible and useful to backtrack more than one level).

Information is reused by learning.

### Branching Heuristics

Choosing the right undefined variable to branch is important for efficiency, but the branching heuristics may be expensive itself.

State of the art: use branching heuristics that need not be recomputed too frequently.

In general: choose variables that occur frequently.

### The Deduction Algorithm

For applying the unit rule, we need to know the number of literals in a clause that are not false.

Maintaining this number is expensive, however.

Better approach: “*Two watched literals*”:

In each clause, select two (currently undefined) “watched” literals.

For each variable  $P$ , keep a list of all clauses in which  $P$  is watched and a list of all clauses in which  $\neg P$  is watched.

If an undefined variable is set to 0 (or to 1), check all clauses in which  $P$  (or  $\neg P$ ) is watched and watch another literal (that is true or undefined) in this clause if possible.

Watched literal information need not be restored upon backtracking.

## Conflict Analysis and Learning

Goal: Reuse information that is obtained in one branch in further branches.

Method: *Learning*:

If a conflicting clause is found, derive a new clause from the conflict and add it to the current set of clauses.

Problem: This may produce a large number of new clauses; therefore it may become necessary to delete some of them afterwards to save space.

## Backjumping

Related technique:

*non-chronological backtracking* (“backjumping”):

If a conflict is independent of some earlier branch, try to skip over that backtrack level.

## Restart

Runtimes of DPLL-style procedures depend extremely on the choice of branching variables.

If no solution is found within a certain time limit, it can be useful to *restart* from scratch with another choice of branchings (but learned clauses may be kept).

In particular, after learning a unit clause a restart is done.

## Formalizing DPLL with Refinements

The DPLL procedure is modelled by a transition relation  $\Rightarrow_{\text{DPLL}}$  on a set of states.

States:

- *fail*
- $M \parallel N$ ,

where  $M$  is a *list of annotated literals* and  $N$  is a set of clauses.

Annotated literal:

- $L$ : deduced literal, due to unit propagation.
- $L^d$ : decision literal (guessed literal).

Unit Propagate:

$$M \parallel N \cup \{C \vee L\} \Rightarrow_{\text{DPLL}} M L \parallel N \cup \{C \vee L\}$$

if  $C$  is false under  $M$  and  $L$  is undefined under  $M$ .

Decide:

$$M \parallel N \Rightarrow_{\text{DPLL}} M L^d \parallel N$$

if  $L$  is undefined under  $M$  and contained in  $N$ .

Fail:

$$M \parallel N \cup \{C\} \Rightarrow_{\text{DPLL}} \text{fail}$$

if  $C$  is false under  $M$  and  $M$  contains no decision literals.

Backjump:

$$M' L^d M'' \parallel N \Rightarrow_{\text{DPLL}} M' L' \parallel N$$

if there is some “backjump clause”  $C \vee L'$  such that  
 $N \models C \vee L'$ ,  
 $C$  is false under  $M'$ , and  
 $L'$  is undefined under  $M'$ .

We will see later that the Backjump rule is always applicable, if the list of literals  $M$  contains at least one decision literal and some clause in  $N$  is false under  $M$ .

There are many possible backjump clauses. One candidate:  $\overline{L_1} \vee \dots \vee \overline{L_n}$ , where the  $L_i$  are all the decision literals in  $M L^d M'$ . (But usually there are better choices.)

**Lemma 1.14** *If we reach a state  $M \parallel N$  starting from  $\emptyset \parallel N$ , then:*

- (1)  $M$  does not contain complementary literals.
- (2) Every deduced literal  $L$  in  $M$  follows from  $N$  and decision literals occurring before  $L$  in  $M$ .

**Proof.** By induction on the length of the derivation. □

**Lemma 1.15** *Every derivation starting from  $\emptyset \parallel N$  terminates. (Proof follows)*

**Proof.** (Idea) Consider a DPLL derivation step  $M \parallel N \Rightarrow_{\text{DPLL}} M' \parallel N'$  and a decomposition  $M_0 l_1^d M_1 \dots l_k^d M_k$  of  $M$  (accordingly for  $M'$ ). Let  $n$  be the number of distinct propositional variables in  $N$ . Then  $k$ ,  $k'$  and the length of  $M$ ,  $M'$  are always smaller than  $n$ . We define  $f(M) = n - \text{length}(M)$  and finally

$$M \parallel N \succ M' \parallel N' \quad \text{if}$$